Sweep Results Reporting Form

Date of Sweep	September / October 2018		
Country	Ireland		
PEA (name of authority)	Data Protection Commission		
Total Number of companies contacted	30 (Note: One organisation did not provide a response in time for it's information to be included)		
Sector(s) swept	Pharmaceutical (3)		
	Multinational (4)		
	Government / Local Government (8)		
Please also specify how many	Transport (2)		
companies in each sector were	Private (3)		
included in the Sweep.	Charity (2)		
	Education (2)		
	Financial (3)		
	Other (3)		
Methodology used;	Email		
(Tick all that apply)			
If more than one methodology was			
used, please specify how many			

Overall results

Common Indicator	Question	Pating	
Common indicator	Question	Rating	
		Specify how many organisations were given each rating	
		Rating criteria can be found below	
Indicator 1:	The organisation has an internal data	Very good: 26	
Policies,	privacy policy (consistent with legal		
procedures and	requirements), and it has been	Satisfactory: 2	
governance	demonstrated that this has been		
governance		Poor: 1	
	embedded into everyday practices	POOL 1	
	The organisation has someone at a	Very good: 27	
	sufficiently senior level responsible for		
	privacy governance and management	Satisfactory: 2	
		,	
	Note: Where there is someone of	Poor: 0	
	sufficiently senior level responsible for	1 001. 0	
	•		
	data protection, the answer should be		
	'very good'. Where there is someone		
	responsible for data protection, but		
	they are not of sufficiently senior		
	level, answer 'satisfactory'. Where		
	there is no one responsible for data		
	protection, answer 'poor'.		

Indicator 2: Monitoring, Training and awareness	The organisation ensures staff are given training regarding the protection of personal information by informing them of organisational privacy policies, procedures and best practices	
	The organisation monitors their performance in relation to data protection standards (i.e by conducting self-assessments and/or audits of your privacy programme and in relation to complaints / enquiries / breaches)	Very good: 13 Satisfactory: 13 Poor: 3
(Indicator 3) Transparency	The organisation actively maintains policies to explain how they handle personal data, and are these easily accessible to customers and the general public	Very good: 25 Satisfactory: 3 Poor: 1
(Indicator 4) Responsiveness and incident management	The organisation maintains a documented incident response procedure	Very good: 21 Satisfactory: 5 Poor: 3
	In the event of a breach, the organisation has a procedure in place to notify affected individuals and report the breach to the regulator	Very good: 23 Satisfactory: 4

		T
		Poor: 2
	The organisation maintains an incident log detailing all breaches that occur	Very good: 27 Satisfactory: 0 Poor: 2
	The organisation has policies and procedures in place to respond to requests and complaints from individuals, and other external enquiries (such as the regulator)	Very good: 24 Satisfactory: 4 Poor: 1
(Indicator 5) Risk assessment, documentation and data flows	The organisation has documented processes in place to assess the risks associated with new products, services, technologies and business models (for instance, the organisation can demonstrate that they conduct privacy impact assessments)	Very good: 20 Satisfactory: 8 Poor: 1
	The organisation maintains an inventory of their personal data holdings	Very good: 20 Satisfactory: 9 Poor: 0

	The organisation maintains a record of any data flows (i.e. data shared with		
	third parties)	Satisfactory:12	
		Poor: 1	
Number of companies or team (listed on web	with a dedicated data protection officer osite)	Total: 25	
Note that this is for information purposes only. It is recognised that in some jurisdictions it is not a requirement for companies to have a dedicated officer.		Note: 4 organisations did not have details on website, however, they did provide information at indicator 1 that they do have a DPO.	
Number of companies for which you are considering follow up action . ¹		30	

Best Practices: Did you find any examples of best practices you would like to share?

- 86% of organisations have a contact for their DPO listed on their website. We noted that 90% have privacy policies which were easily accessible from the homepage.
- Most organisations self-reported to have policies and procedures in place to respond to requests and complaints from individuals
- 75% of organisation self-reported to have adequate data breach policies in place

_

¹ Examples of follow-up include: conducting a more detailed assessment or investigation, contacting the data controller for information or education purposes (if not already done as part of the Sweep activity), and/or taking enforcement action.

Trends: Are there particular trends or issues that you noticed in conducting the Sweep?

- All organisations have self-reported that they provide some form of data protection training for staff. However, only 38% of those organisations outlined training programmes for all staff, including new entrants and refresher training.
- In most cases, organisations self-reported that they undertake some monitoring / self assessment, but not to a sufficiently high level. 3 of the 29 respondents scored 'poor' in this section, while 13 reached 'satisfactory'.
- One third of organisations failed to provide evidence of documented processes to assess risks associated with new products and technology. However, most organisations indicated that they are aware of the need for this and many are in the process of documenting appropriate procedures.
- 30% of organisations failed to demonstrate that they had an adequate inventory of personal data while almost half failed to maintain a record of data flows.

If you looked at more than one sector, please identify any noticeable trends or differences between the organisations;

- We noted that multinational companies scored highly and demonstrated that they have comprehensive policies and procedures in place
- In general, public sector bodies did not score well when it came to conducting self-assessments and/or audits of their privacy programmes
- The biggest number of 'poor' scores were recorded in relation to incident management and responsiveness, though no discernible sectoral trend emerged